It is surprising the respect that the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. NOR-WOOD) has across this Nation. According to the majority leader in the Senate, he is the most respected voice on patient protection across this Nation. Now because of political reasons, the other side would change their tune because they are more concerned about politics than they are the health of patients.

We have 43 million uninsured in this country, 10 million more than a decade ago. Nearly 40 percent of uninsured adults skipped a recommended medical test or treatment, and 20 percent said they did not get the needed care for a serious problem in the last year.

The uninsured are more likely to be hospitalized for avoidable conditions such as pheumonia and uncontrolled diabetes, and are three times more likely to die in the hospital than an insured patient. That is a striking, a very striking statistic from the Journal of the American Medical Association. It is beyond me how the other side, who has always talked about the most vulnerable in our society, low income and minorities, how they could show such a flagrant disregard for the uninsured, willing to drive up the costs with the frivolous lawsuits to favor the personal injury lawyers over the patients.

It is striking to me how they can ignore this particular fact and the impact of having more uninsured in this Nation will have on the health of Americans. We need to come together, lay aside politics and make sure we cover the uninsured.

That is the reason why I am glad we provide some access programs in the amendment through association health plans to allow small businesses to come together to be able to reduce the cost of premiums from 10 to 30 percent and allow some medical savings accounts.

Again, I appreciate the work that is been done on this by a number of individuals. I certainly want to thank the President for his passion of making sure we get patient protection. I want to encourage everyone to support the Norwood amendment to the Ganske-Dingell bill.

Mr. STARK. Mr. Chairman, I yield 30 seconds to the distinguished gentleman from Iowa, Mr. GANSKE.

Mr. GANSKE. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman from California (Mr. STARK), and I thank the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. FLETCHER).

The underlying Ganske-Dingell bill does have access provisions that I think are bipartisan, for instance, 100 percent deductibility for the self-insured and other small business provisions to help increase access. There will be an amendment on the floor for that that will get debate on further access provisions, and I think that debate will be a fruitful debate.

Mr. STARK. Mr. Chairman, I yield 3 minutes to the gentleman from Maryland (Mr. CARDIN).

Mr. CARDIN. Mr. Chairman, first I would like all the Members to join me

in congratulating the gentleman from California (Mr. STARK) for becoming a father with twins born to Deborah. We know that August will be a very busy month for him.

Mr. Chairman, I want to respond very briefly to the points of the gentleman from Kentucky (Mr. Fletcher). Most of the protections in the Patients' Bill of Rights, many of our States have passed laws that provide that to state-regulated plans. There is no evidence that employers have dropped coverage. The enactment of good medical policy will not reduce the number of people insured in this Nation.

Mr. Chairman, let me point out, many people have said that the Bush-Norwood agreement is a compromise.

It is not a compromise; it is a complete victory for those who oppose a Patients' Bill of Rights. We will take a look at some votes later today, and I think that will be borne out by the people who will be supporting the amendments and those who will be opposing them. This really is a victory for people who want to see us do nothing.

Let me just give one example. Mr. Chairman, I have been working many years with colleagues on the other side of the aisle for access to emergency care protection so that people who go into the emergency room, who have emergency symptoms, find out later that their bills will in fact be paid. We have, in many cases, people going to the emergency room with chest pains, only to find out that they did not have a heart attack, but they have a heart attack later on when their HMOs refuse to pay the bill.

We provide protection in this legislation to deal with that, in the underlying bill. But when we look at the amendment that the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. Norwood) will be offering, we give with one hand and take away with the other. We say we give protection, but we offer no enforcement, so the HMOs can continue to deny reimbursement without any fear of any repercussion from their actions. That is not doing what we should be doing here in this body.

It is even worse than that, Mr. Chairman, because there are certain protections that have been afforded by our States. Forty-one States have passed an external review. That is where people can go to their insurance company, to their HMO, and have a review done by an independent body. Forty-one States have now enacted an external review that is now providing help to those plans that are regulated under State law. So what does the Norwood amendment do? It preempts our 41 States.

My colleagues on the other side of the aisle talk about federalism and protecting the rights of States. The Norwood amendment will preempt the State laws in those areas, and take away protection that the States at least have had the courage to provide to its citizens that are regulated under State plans.

That is not what we should be doing. A Patients' Bill of Rights protects patients. The Norwood amendment will take it away. Vote down the Norwood amendment.

Mr. BOEHNER. Mr. Chairman, I am pleased to yield 1 minute to the gentleman from California (Mr. HUNTER).

Mr. HUNTER. Mr. Chairman, I thank the gentleman for yielding time to me.

Mr. Chairman, I had a personal experience with my chief of staff who had what was diagnosed as incurable cancer, had a gatekeeper problem, and I became one of the first cosponsors of the gentleman from Georgia (Mr. NORWOOD) when he initiated his initial legislation.

We talked about the Norwood amendment today. We went over the fact that one is going to have accountability, and yet, they are not going to have so much exposure that small businesses will be denied coverage.

The key element in this entire debate has been balance. This approach is well-balanced. It is going to enable small businesses to have coverage. It is going to have accountability. It is going to move us forward. My old friend and I had a good discussion this morning, the gentleman who was most concerned about this who had incurable cancer. He looked at this thing and he says, this is what we need. Support the Norwood amendment.

Mr. STARK. Mr. Chairman, I am happy to yield 2½ minutes to the distinguished gentleman from Wisconsin (Mr. KLECZKA).

Mr. KLECZKA. Mr. Chairman, it is amazing to sit here and listen to the debate, how a person can go in less than 24 hours from an SOB to a PAL, and there is such glowing praise for one of the Members of this body. Wow, where was that praise last year? Where was it 5 years ago when he introduced the Patients' Bill of Rights? What a turnaround.

I know the White House operatives have been looking for somebody to bring forth a poison pill to this bill. The insurance companies, the HMOs, do not like it. The Republicans do not like it; the President does not like it. So what we do in this legislation is sell out the patients.

The operatives in the White House came here and were looking for someone to do the poison pill. They looked at the gentleman from Michigan (Mr. DINGELL) and did not get too far there; they looked at the gentleman from Iowa (Mr. GANSKE) and did not get too far there; then there is a new and sort of popular TV show which I think sums up what happened. My friends, it is called The Weakest Link. They found the weakest link.

So, in a hurried fashion, we are presented with that change, which gives insurance companies privileged status; status that doctors do not have, hospitals do not have, but HMOs, health insurance companies, will have under this bill. I think that is sad.